

## Technical Report

Report No **R13372**  
Author **M Swanborough**  
Date **01 July 2013**

Customer

Some info obscured for  
commercial reasons

Project Name

Testing in accordance with BS EN 13126-5:2011

Product Name

Face Fix Restrictor

Test Standards

BS EN 13126-5:2011

Test	Description	Pass/Grade	
Clause 7.2	Initial opening test	Yes	n/a
Clause 7.3	Durability test	Yes	Grade 5
Clause 7.4	Mechanical strength	Yes	n/a
Clause 7.6	Percussion test	Yes	n/a
Clause 7.7	Impact test	Yes	Grade 1
Clause 7.8	Cutting test	Yes	n/a

### Terms of Usage

The front page of this report can be used as a certificate of compliance when read in conjunction with the rest of this report. The full report contains 10 numbered pages and 1 un-numbered page and contains the following sections:

1. Introduction
  2. Summary of Test Results
  3. Description of Test Sample
  4. Instrumentation
  5. Test Procedures
  6. Conclusions
- Appendix A (Clients drawings)

This report and the results shown within are based upon the information, drawings, samples and tests referred to in the report. The results obtained do not necessarily relate to samples from the production line of the above named company and in no way constitute any form of representation or warranty as to the performance or quality of any products supplied or to be supplied by them. Wintech Engineering Ltd or its employees accept no liability for any damages, charges, cost or expenses in respect of or in relation to any damage to any property or other loss whatsoever arising either directly or indirectly from the use of the report.

Author

M Swanborough

Approved by

M Wass  
Technical Director

This report is copyright and reproduction of this document in whole or any part thereof must not be made without prior written permission from Wintech Engineering Ltd.

Wintech Engineering Ltd, Halesfield 2, Telford, Shropshire, TF7 4QH  
Tel: +44 (0)1952 586580 Fax: +44 (0)1952 586585

Testing Conducted by:	Wintech Engineering Ltd Halesfield 2 Telford Shropshire TF7 4QH
Test Conducted at:	Address as above
Test Conducted for:	
Standard Specified:	BS EN 13126-5:2011
Project No:	13372
Product to be tested:	Face Fix Restrictor
Date sample arrived:	7th June 2013
Tests Performed:	As listed in – Test Procedures
Date test commenced:	10th June 2013
Date test completed:	28th June 2013
Testing Conducted by:	M Swanborough & A Price
Report Compiled by:	M Swanborough
Technical Approval: (Authorising Signatory)	M Wass Technical Director



## CONTENTS

	Page No.
1. Introduction	3
2. Summary of Test Results	3
3. Description of Test Sample	4
4. Instrumentation	5
5. Test Procedures and Results	6
6. Conclusions	8
Appendix A (Clients drawing)	9

## 1. INTRODUCTION

*This report describes tests conducted at the test laboratory of Wintech Engineering Ltd to a Face Fix window restrictor on behalf of*

*The test methods were in accordance with the following standards:*

**Building hardware - Hardware for windows and door height windows -  
Requirements and test methods and closing**

**BS EN 13126-5:2011**

**Part 5: Devices that restrict the opening of windows and door height windows**

*The test sample was supplied to us fixed to a side hung window, it was mounted into the test rig by Wintech Engineering Ltd.*

## 2. SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS

*The following summarises the results of testing carried out, in accordance with the relevant testing & classification standards in BS EN 13126-5:2011.*

Test Type	Description	Test Method & Classification Standard	Pass/Class	
<b>Clause 7.2</b>	Initial opening test	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	n/a
<b>Clause 7.3</b>	Durability test	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	Grade 5
<b>Clause 7.4</b>	Mechanical strength	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	n/a
<b>Clause 7.6</b>	Percussion test	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	n/a
<b>Clause 7.7</b>	Impact test	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	1
<b>Clause 7.8</b>	Cutting test	BS EN 13126-5:2011	Pass	n/a
The restrictor as tested conforms to "Safety in use - Grade 3" and "Application Grade - 5/7" as a Child Safety Holding Restrictor according to Table 2 of BS EN 13126-5:2011				

*More comprehensive details feature later in this report*

**Note: These results are valid only for the conditions under which the test was conducted.**

**All measurement devices, instruments and other relevant equipment were calibrated and traceable to National Standards.**

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF TEST SAMPLE

Manufactured by:

Project name:

Testing in accordance with BS EN 13126-5:2011

Product name:

Face Fix Restrictor

Restrictor type:

Cord

Window:

Side hung, Test size B (According to table 3 of BS EN 13126-5:2011)

Restrictor Fixings:

TBC


Subframe:

Timber subframe

See Appendix A for test sample drawings as supplied by:

### TEST SAMPLE PRIOR TO TESTING

(Photograph no. 1)



#### **4. INSTRUMENTATION**

##### **4.1 FORCES**

*A force gauge and load cell accurate to 2% were used to measure forces.*

##### **4.2 GAUGES AND TEST EQUIPMENT**

*The gap gauges and test equipment used for testing were accurate to 1mm*

##### **4.3 MEASUREMENT**

*A Calibrated stop watch was used to record the duration of tests when required.*

##### **4.4 TEMPERATURE & HUMIDITY**

*A data logger capable of measuring temperature with accuracy of  $\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$  and humidity with accuracy of  $\pm 5\% \text{Rh}$  was used. The sample was stored and tested in the laboratory in the permitted range of 15-30°C and 25-75% humidity.*



## 5. TEST PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

### 5.1 INITIAL OPENING

The test sample mounted on the side hung window provided was fitted into the security test rig. The test rig operating equipment was adjusted to test in accordance with clause 7.2 as per BS EN 13126-5-2011. A load of 350N was applied without shock for 60 seconds to the window sash in the direction of opening. A check was conducted to ensure that a gap gauge of 89mm diameter could not pass through the opening gap of the window.

#### 5.1.2 RESULTS

The Restrictor achieved a pass as per 7.2.3 of BS EN 13126-5-2011 as it was unable to pass the gauge through the windows opening. The restrictor continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements of this test.

### 5.2 DURABILITY TEST PROCEDURES

#### 5.2.1 SEQUENCE OF TESTING

The test sample on the side hung window provide was fitted into the cyclic test rig. The test rig operating equipment was adjusted in accordance with the operation of the hardware, its reference velocity established within the specified limits, and the cycle counter set to zero.

#### 5.2.2 MAIN TEST

The test equipment was configured for the correct amount of cycles as specified in Table 1 below as per BS EN 13126-5-2011 7.3.1 Grade 5. At every period equal to 10% of the specified total test cycles the test was stopped and the specimen examined. The test was continued and paused at examination periods through out its duration as defined above.

The test was completed when the specified number of cycle was completed.

#### 5.2.3 END OF TEST

After completion of the cyclic test the following checks were carried out

- a) The restrictor continued to operate correctly
- b) The 89mm and 100mm gap gauges could not pass through the window

**TABLE 1 - Durability Grade cycles**

Grade	Restrictor operations cycles
Grade 3	10,000 (+1%) cycles
Grade 4	15,000 (+1%) cycles
Grade 5	25,000 (+1%) cycles

#### 5.2.4 RESULTS

The Restrictor showed very few signs of wear after completion of 25,000 cycles. It continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements for Grade 5 as specified in BS EN 13126-5-2011

### 5.3 MECHANICAL TEST PROCEDURES

#### 5.3.1 SEQUENCE OF TESTING

The test sample mounted on the side hung window provided was fitted into the security test rig. The test rig operating equipment was adjusted to test in accordance with clause 7.4 as per BS EN 13126-5-2011. The Following loads are applied without shock for 60 seconds to the window sash in the direction opening.

200N for 60 seconds  
350N for 60 seconds  
500N for 60 seconds

#### 5.3.2 RESULTS

The Restrictor showed no obvious signs of wear after completion of the above testing. It continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements for a Pass as specified in clause 7.4 as per BS EN 13126-5-2011



**Mechanical loading**

## 5.4. PERCUSSION TEST PROCEDURE

### 5.4.1 SEQUENCE OF TESTING

The restrictor is to be impacted on the point chosen by the test engineer to give the most severe impact on the hardware as per BS EN 13126-5-2011 7.6

1. The pendulum is set so that the selected point of the restrictor is struck with when the pendulum is horizontal.
2. The pendulum arm is set so that a fall angle of 45° is achieved
3. This restrictor is subject to 3 percussions with the hammer as photo below.



Pendulum Arm 0.3kg



Pendulum head 0.6kg

### 5.4.2 RESULTS

The Restrictor showed no significant signs of wear after completion of 3 percussions. This test has been video recorded for review. The restrictor continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements for clause 7.6 as specified in BS EN 13126-5-2011

## 5.5 IMPACT TEST PROCEDURE

The Restrictor fitted to a window sample should withstand a mass colliding with the active sash to one or more of the grades specified below as per EN 13049. A 50kg double tyre impactor was dropped from the required grades drop height and impacts the centre of the window sash.

- Grade 1 - 200mm
- Grade 2 - 300mm
- Grade 3 - 450mm
- Grade 4 - 700mm
- Grade 5 - 950mm

### 5.5.1 RESULTS

The Restrictor achieved a pass at Grade 1. This test has been video recorded for review if required. The restrictor remained engaged and continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements for Grade 1 as per clause 7.7 specified in BS EN 13126-5-2011




Grade 1 Drop height


## 5.6 CUTTING TEST PROCEDURE

### 5.6.1 SEQUENCE OF TESTING

The test sample mounted in the cutting test block was fitted into the security test rig. The test rig operating equipment was adjusted to test in accordance with clause 7.8 as per BS EN 13126-5:2011. The restrictor is mounted to fixed base of a stamping tool and a force of 10N is applied throughout the test to keep the cord taught. The movable punch is rested onto the cord and a force was applied at 100N/s until a force of 3600N was achieved.



Cutting test block



Cutting test block and restrictor mounted in test rig

### 5.6.2 RESULTS

The Restrictor showed cord showed some signs of wear after completion of the test however these were minimal (photo below). This test has been video recorded for review. The restrictor continued to operate normally after the test and therefore met the requirements for clause 7.8 as specified in BS EN 13126-5:2011



Restrictor cord after testing

## 6. CONCLUSION

The restrictor as tested conforms to "Safety in use - Grade 3" and "Application Grade - 5/7" as a Child Safety Holding Restrictor according to Table 2 of BS EN 13126-5:2011. This report covers both the Key Turn and the Push And Turn type Restrictors.



APPENDIX A

Drawings

Face Fix Restrictor JBC891

End Of Report